



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

76
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,478	03/31/2004	James Loran Ball	ALTRPI34/A1466	6370

51501 7590 05/02/2006

BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP
ATTN: ALTERA
P.O. BOX 70250
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250

EXAMINER

ZALEPA, GEORGE D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2183

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/815,478	BALL, JAMES LORAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	George D. Zalepa	2183	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 March 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/30/05</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-30 have been considered by the examiner.

Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Subcircuitry for allowing branch instructions to access non-word aligned memory locations.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 21 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 21 recites "wherein the branch target address is multi-byte aligned" while claim 20 states the same limitation in lines 8-9, therefore claim 21 does not further limit claim 20.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claim 7 recites the limitations "the branch offset" and "the current program counter" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

6. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2183

Claim 1 recites the phrase “substantially all multi-byte aligned branch instructions”. Claims 2-13 are rejected based on their dependence on rejected claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 1-11, 13-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Intel, Inc (IA-32® Architecture Software Developer’s Manual, Volumes 1-2, 2002; herein referred to as “Intel”).

9. Regarding **independent claim 1**,

10. Intel discloses *a processor, comprising: a plurality of registers* [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 3-8, section 3.4]; *circuitry configured to process a plurality of instructions* [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 2-14, Section 2.6.2] *associated with an instruction set including a plurality of branch and non-branch instructions* [see Intel, Vol. 2, section 3.2, starting on page 3-15; Examiner’s note: section 3.2 provides a listing of all instruction able to be processed by the P6 architecture, including branch (i.e., JMP, Jcc, CALL, et al.) and non-branch instructions (i.e., ADD, AND, CMP, et al.)], *the plurality of instructions each having a multi-byte length* [see Intel, Vol. 2, page 2-1, section 2.1], *the plurality of instructions accessible at multi-byte aligned addresses* [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 1-7, Fig. 1-1; Examiner’s note: It is inherent since the IA-32 architecture employs 32-bit instructions, these instructions would be accessed by multi-byte aligned addresses.]; *wherein substantially all multi-byte aligned branch instructions are operable to access the instructions at byte aligned addresses* [see Intel, Vol. 2, page 3-357 “JMP-Jump” instruction reference; page 3-358, line 1-2, “A relative offset (rel8, rel16, or rel32) is generally specified as a label in assembly code, but at the machine code level, it is encoded as a signed 8-, 16-, or 32-bit

Art Unit: 2183

immediate value.”; Examiner's note: In the description of operating modes, Intel discloses a jump instruction that uses an offset corresponding to 8 bits (JMP rel8) as well as other indexing modes (rel16, rel32 et al.)].

11. Regarding **claim 2**,

12. Intel discloses *the processor of claim 1, wherein the plurality of instructions are accessed at word aligned addresses* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-358, line 1-2, “...it is encoded as a signed 8-, 16-, or 32-bit immediate value.”; Examiner's note: Intel discloses a 32-bit offset, thus word aligned addresses.].

13. Regarding **claim 3**,

14. Intel discloses *a processor of claim 1, wherein the plurality of instructions are accessed at half-word aligned addresses* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-358, line 1-2, “...it is encoded as a signed 8-, 16-, or 32-bit immediate value.”; Examiner's note: Intel discloses a 16-bit offset, thus half-word aligned addresses.].

15. Regarding **claim 4**,

16. Intel discloses *the processor of claim 1, wherein accessing the instructions comprises reading and writing the addresses* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-357; lines 1-7, “Transfers program control to...a memory location”; Vol. 2, Page 3-359, Operation Code, line 4, “tempEIP <- EIP + DEST”; Examiner's note: In the operation of the jump instruction, Intel discloses reading the address (offset or absolute) from the instruction, as illustrated by “DEST”, and writing the address to “tempEIP” for use in changing the instruction pointer.].

17. Regarding **claim 5**,

18. Intel discloses *the processor of claim 1, wherein branch instructions comprise branch and conditional branch instructions* [see Intel, Vol. 2, section 3.2, instructions (sections) Jcc (conditional jump) and JMP (jump)].

19. Regarding **claim 6**,

Art Unit: 2183

20. Intel discloses *the processor of claim 1, wherein branch instructions comprise a branch offset and a current program counter value* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-359, Operation Code, line 4, “tempEIP < EIP + DEST”]; Examiner's note: In this cite, Intel discloses an offset (DEST) being added to the program counter value (EIP).].

21. Regarding **claim 7**,

22. Intel discloses *the processor of claim 1, wherein the units of the branch offset* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-357, “JMP rel8”, “When executing a near jump the processor jumps to the address...that is specified with the target operand”] *and the current program counter are in bytes* [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 3-8, section 3.4, lines 9-10, “EIP (instruction pointer) register...contains a 32-bit pointer...”; Examiner's note: Inherently, a 32-bit value is comprised of four 8-bit bytes.].

23. Regarding **claim 8**,

24. Intel discloses *the processor of claim 1, wherein the plurality of instructions are one word in length* [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 1-7, Fig. 1-1; Examiner's note: It would have been well known that the IA-32 architecture utilizes 32-bit instructions.].

25. Regarding **claim 9**,

26. Intel discloses *the processor of claim 1, wherein the branch instruction and a plurality of non-branch instructions supported by the processor are implemented using common subcircuitry* [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 2-10, Figure 2-1, element “Execution Out-of-Order Core”; Examiner's note: More details of the inner workings of the P6 execution unit are disclosed in the “P6 Family of Processors Hardware Developers Manual” also by Intel, Inc. September 1998, order number 244001-001 (Page 2-5)].

27. Regarding **claim 10**,

28. Intel discloses *the processor of claim 9, wherein common subcircuitry* [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 2-10, Figure 2-1, element “Execution Out-of-Order Core”; Vol. 1, Page 2-14, section 2.6.2;] *is used to handle the immediate field associated with the branch and non-branch instructions* [see Intel, Vol. 2,

Art Unit: 2183

page 3-21, lines 2-3 “The destination operand can be a register or a memory location; the source operand can be an immediate, a register, or a memory location.” (use of immediate processing with non-branch (ADD) instructions); Page 3-357, lines 3-4 “This operand can be an immediate value, a general-purpose register, or a memory location.” (use of immediate processing with branch instructions. Examiner's note: It is clear from the Intel disclosure and would have been well known at the time of invention that the P6 processor employs sub circuitry (the execution core) to perform multiple operations, including branch and non-branch instructions. Furthermore, since the IA-32 architecture utilizes immediate fields in both branch and non-branch (i.e., adding an immediate value) instructions, said instructions would both be executed by said sub circuitry, such as an adder to compute the addition or target address, as was common knowledge at the time of invention.].

29. Regarding **claim 11**,

30. Intel discloses *the processor of claim 10, wherein common subcircuitry is used to perform sign-extensions of the immediate field associated with the branch and non-branch instructions* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-3, point 4, “**imm8**—An immediate byte value. The **imm8** symbol is a signed number between –128 and +127 inclusive. For instructions in which **imm8** is combined with a word or doubleword operand, the immediate value is sign-extended to form a word or doubleword. The upper byte of the word is filled with the topmost bit of the immediate value.” Examiner's note: As cited multiple time in this action, many instructions (branch and non-branch) utilize an immediate byte value thus would be sign extended by the execution core.].

31. Regarding **claim 13**,

32. Intel discloses *the processor of claim 1, wherein the processor is a processor core on a [sic] ASIC* [Examiner's note: The P6 chip is considered an ASIC, and therefore anticipates the claim.].

33. Regarding **independent claim 14**,

Art Unit: 2183

34. Intel discloses *a processor, comprising: a plurality of registers* [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 3-8, section 3.4]; *circuitry* [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 2-14, Section 2.6.2] *configured to process a plurality of branch and non-branch instructions associated with an instruction set* [see Intel, Vol. 2, section 3.2, starting on page 3-15; Examiner's note: section 3.2 provides a listing of all instruction able to be processed by the P6 architecture, including branch (i.e., JMP, Jcc, CALL, et al.) and non-branch instructions (i.e., ADD, AND, CMP, et al.)], *the plurality of branch instructions and non-branch instructions including an immediate field* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-21, line "Add imm8 to AL"; Page 3-357, lines 3-4 "This operand can be an immediate value, a general-purpose register, or a memory location."]; *wherein common subcircuitry* [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 2-10, Figure 2-1, element "Execution Out-of-Order Core"; Vol. 1, Page 2-14, section 2.6.2;] *is used to process the immediate field associated with one or more branch instructions and one or more non-branch instructions* [see Intel, Vol. 2, page 3-21, lines 2-3 "The destination operand can be a register or a memory location; the source operand can be an immediate, a register, or a memory location." (use of immediate processing with non-branch (ADD) instructions); Page 3-357, lines 3-4 "This operand can be an immediate value, a general-purpose register, or a memory location." (use of immediate processing with branch instructions). Examiner's note: It is clear from the Intel disclosure and would have been well known at the time of invention that the P6 processor employs sub circuitry (the execution core) to perform multiple operations, including branch and non-branch instructions. Furthermore, since the IA-32 architecture utilizes immediate fields in both branch and non-branch (i.e., adding an immediate value) instructions, said instructions would both be executed by said sub circuitry, such as an adder to compute the addition or target address, as was common knowledge at the time of invention.].

35. Regarding **claim 15**,

Art Unit: 2183

36. Intel discloses *the processor of claim 14, wherein the instruction set comprises a plurality of instructions* [see Intel, Vol. 2, section 3.2 (listing of a plurality of instructions supported by the P6 architecture.)].

37. Regarding **claim 16**,

38. Intel discloses *the processor of claim 15, wherein the plurality of instructions are accessed at half-word aligned addresses* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-358, line 1-2, "...it is encoded as a signed 8-, 16-, or 32-bit immediate value"; Examiner's note: Intel discloses a 16-bit offset, thus half-word aligned addresses.].

39. Regarding **claim 17**,

40. Intel discloses *the processor of claim 14, wherein branch instructions comprise branch and conditional branch instructions* [see Intel, Vol. 2, section 3.2, instructions (sections) Jcc (conditional jump) and JMP (jump)].

41. Regarding **claim 18**,

42. Intel discloses *the processor of claim 14, wherein common subcircuitry* [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 2-10, Figure 2-1, element "Execution Out-of-Order Core"; Vol. 1, Page 2-14, section 2.6.2;] *is used to handle the immediate field associated with the branch and non-branch instructions* [see Intel, Vol. 2, page 3-21, lines 2-3 "The destination operand can be a register or a memory location; the source operand can be an immediate, a register, or a memory location." (use of immediate processing with non-branch (ADD) instructions); Page 3-357, lines 3-4 "This operand can be an immediate value, a general-purpose register, or a memory location." (use of immediate processing with branch instructions). Examiner's note: It is clear from the Intel disclosure and would have been well known at the time of invention that the P6 processor employs sub circuitry (the execution core) to perform multiple operations, including branch and non-branch instructions. Furthermore, since the IA-32 architecture utilizes immediate fields in both branch and non-branch (i.e., adding an immediate value) instructions, said instructions would both be

Art Unit: 2183

executed by said sub circuitry, such as an adder to compute the addition or target address, as was common knowledge at the time of invention.].

43. Regarding **claim 19**,

44. Intel discloses *the processor of claim 18, wherein common subcircuitry is used to perform sign-extensions of the immediate field associated with the branch and non-branch instructions* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-3, point 4, “**imm8**—An immediate byte value. The **imm8** symbol is a signed number between – 128 and +127 inclusive. For instructions in which **imm8** is combined with a word or doubleword operand, the immediate value is sign-extended to form a word or doubleword. The upper byte of the word is filled with the topmost bit of the immediate value.” Examiner's note: As cited multiple time in this action, many instructions (branch and non-branch) utilize an immediate byte value thus would be sign extended by the execution core.].

45. Regarding **independent claim 20**,

46. Intel discloses *a method for performing an instruction, the method comprising: decoding a branch instruction associated with an address* [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 2-10, Fig. 2-1, element “Fetch/Decode”], *the branch instruction having an associated opcode and an immediate value* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-357, heading of table, “Opcode Instruction Description”, Page 3-357, lines 3-4, “This operand can be an immediate value...”]; *calculating a branch target address using the immediate value* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-359, Operation Code, line 4, “tempEIP <- EIP + DEST”; Examiner's note: In this cite, Intel discloses an offset (DEST) being added to the program counter value (EIP).], *wherein the branch target address is determined by using common subcircuitry, the common subcircuitry operable to calculate a byte-aligned address* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-359, Operation Code, line 4, “tempEIP <- EIP + DEST”; Examiner's note: In this cite, Intel discloses an offset (DEST) being added to the program counter value (EIP); Examiner's note: It is clear that since Intel allows for a byte to be used as the offset.], *wherein the common subcircuitry is also configured to perform nonbranch operations* [see Intel, Vol. 1,

Art Unit: 2183

Page 2-10, Figure 2-1, element “Execution Out-of-Order Core”; Examiner's note: More details of the inner workings of the P6 execution unit are disclosed in the “P6 Family of Processors Hardware Developers Manual” also by Intel, Inc. September 1998, order number 244001-001 (Page 2-5).

Furthermore, since Intel discloses multiple instructions (branch and non-branch, see Vol. 2, section 3.2), it is clear that both of these types of instructions are executed by the execution core.]; *jumping to the branch target address, wherein the branch target address is multi-byte aligned* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-357, lines 1-2; Examiner's note: In the case of a jump instruction with a 32 bit immediate, a branch target would be fetched that is inherently multi-byte aligned.].

47. Regarding **claim 21**,

48. Intel discloses *the method of claim 20, wherein the branch target address is multi-byte aligned* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-357, lines 1-2; Examiner's note: In the case of a jump instruction with a 32 bit immediate, a branch target would be fetched that is inherently multi-byte aligned.].

49. Regarding **claim 22**,

50. Intel discloses *the method of claim 20, wherein the branch target address is half-word aligned* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-358, line 1-2, “...it is encoded as a signed 8-, 16-, or 32-bit immediate value.”; Examiner's note: Intel discloses a 16-bit offset, thus half-word aligned addresses.].

51. Regarding **claim 23**,

52. Intel discloses *the method of claim 20, wherein calculating the branch target address comprises performing a sign extend operation* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-3, point 4, “**imm8**—An immediate byte value. The **imm8** symbol is a signed number between –128 and +127 inclusive. For instructions in which **imm8** is combined with a word or doubleword operand, the immediate value is sign-extended to form a word or doubleword. The upper byte of the word is filled with the topmost bit of the immediate value.” Examiner's note: As cited multiple time in this action, many instructions (branch and non-branch) utilize an immediate byte value thus would be sign extended by the execution core.].

Art Unit: 2183

53. Regarding **claim 24**,

54. Intel discloses *the method of claim 20, wherein the branch instruction calculates the branch target address using the immediate value and the address of the branch instruction* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-357; lines 1-7, “Transfers program control to...a memory location”; Vol. 2, Page 3-359, Operation Code, line 4, “tempEIP <- EIP + DEST”; Examiner's note: In the operation of the jump instruction, Intel discloses reading the address (offset or absolute) from the instruction, as illustrated by “DEST”, and writing the address to “tempEIP” for use in changing the instruction pointer.].

55. Regarding **claim 25**,

56. Intel discloses *the method of claim 20, wherein the units of the immediate value* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-357, “JMP rel8”, “When executing a near jump the processor jumps to the address...that is specified with the target operand”] *and the address associated with the branch instruction are in bytes* [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 3-8, section 3.4, lines 9-10, “EIP (instruction pointer) register...contains a 32-bit pointer...”; Examiner's note: Inherently, a 32-bit value is comprised of four 8-bit bytes.].

57. Regarding **claim 26**,

58. Intel discloses *the method of claim 25, wherein the address associated with the branch instruction is a program counter* [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 3-8, section 3.4, lines 9-10, “EIP (instruction pointer) register...contains a 32-bit pointer...”].

59. Regarding **independent claim 27**,

60. Intel discloses *a processor, comprising: means for decoding* [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 2-10, Fig. 2-1, element “Fetch/Decode”] *a branch instruction associated with an address* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-357; Examiner's note: Intel discloses one of a plurality of types of branch instructions in this instruction definition.], *the branch instruction having an associated opcode and an immediate value* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-357, heading of table, “Opcode Instruction Description”, Page 3-357, lines 3-4, “This operand can be an immediate value...”]; *means for calculating a branch target address using the immediate value*

Art Unit: 2183

[see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-359, Operation Code, line 4, “tempEIP <- EIP + DEST”; Examiner's note: In this cite, Intel discloses an offset (DEST) being added to the program counter value (EIP).], *wherein the branch target address is determined by using common subcircuitry, the common subcircuitry operable to calculate a byte-aligned address* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-359, Operation Code, line 4, “tempEIP <- EIP + DEST”; Examiner's note: In this cite, Intel discloses an offset (DEST) being added to the program counter value (EIP); Examiner's note: It is clear that since Intel allows for a byte to be used as the offset.], *wherein the common subcircuitry is also configured to perform nonbranch operations* [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 2-10, Figure 2-1, element “Execution Out-of-Order Core”; Examiner's note: More details of the inner workings of the P6 execution unit are disclosed in the “P6 Family of Processors Hardware Developers Manual” also by Intel, Inc. September 1998, order number 244001-001 (Page 2-5). Furthermore, since Intel discloses multiple instructions (branch and non-branch, see Vol. 2, section 3.2), it is clear that both of these types of instructions are executed by the execution core.], *means for jumping to the branch target address, wherein the branch target address is multi-byte aligned* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-357, lines 1-2; Examiner's note: In the case of a jump instruction with a 32 bit immediate, a branch target would be fetched that is inherently multi-byte aligned.].

61. Regarding **claim 28**,

62. Intel discloses *the processor of claim 27, wherein the branch target address is multi-byte aligned* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-357, lines 1-2; Examiner's note: In the case of a jump instruction with a 32 bit immediate, a branch target would be fetched that is inherently multi-byte aligned.].

63. Regarding **claim 29**,

64. Intel discloses *the processor of claim 27, wherein the branch target address is half-word aligned* [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-358, line 1-2, “...it is encoded as a signed 8-, 16-, or 32-bit immediate value.”; Examiner's note: Intel discloses a 16-bit offset, thus half-word aligned addresses.].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

65. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

66. Claims 12 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Intel in view of Solomon et al (US Pat. No. 6,219,833; herein referred to as "Solomon").

67. Regarding **claim 12**,

68. Intel discloses the limitations as stated in **independent claim 1**.

69. Intel does not disclose *the processor [being] a processor core on a programmable chip*.

70. Solomon does disclose *the processor [being] a processor core on a programmable chip* [see Solomon, Col. 4, lines 46-50; lines 63-66].

71. The advantage of utilizing a processor core as that disclosed by Intel in the environment of a programmable chip would have been to utilize the general purpose nature of a chip such as that as the chip executing IA-32 instructions. Furthermore, the use of a programmable core in conjunction with a fixed processing core would have allowed one to develop a system capable of performing specific functions faster (such as DSP algorithms). Solomon discloses the use of an Intel Pentium II processor as the primary fixed processor, therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to utilize the processor disclosed by Intel with a secondary programmable core on the same chip.

72. Regarding **claim 30**,

73. Intel discloses the limitations as stated in **independent claim 27**.

74. Intel does not disclose the processor being included in *a programmable chip*.

75. Solomon does disclose the processor being included in *a programmable chip*.

Art Unit: 2183

76. The advantage of utilizing a processor core as that disclosed by Intel in the environment of a programmable chip would have been to utilize the general purpose nature of a chip such as that as the chip executing IA-32 instructions. Furthermore, the use of a programmable core in conjunction with a fixed processing core would have allowed one to develop a system capable of performing specific functions faster (such as DSP algorithms). Solomon discloses the use of an Intel Pentium II processor as the primary fixed processor, therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to utilize the processor disclosed by Intel with a secondary programmable core on the same chip.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George D. Zalepa whose telephone number is (571) 272-6754. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (alt. Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie P. Chan can be reached on (571) 272-4162. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George Zalepa
Examiner
Art Unit 2183
Randolph 2E74
Phone: (571)272-6754


EDDIE CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100